

A new method to construct families of complex Hadamard matrices in even dimensions

D. Goyeneche*

Departamento de Física, Universidad de Concepción, Casilla 160-C, Concepción, Chile

Center for Optics and Photonics, Universidad de Concepción, Casilla 4016, Concepción, Chile

We present a new method for constructing affine families of complex Hadamard matrices in every even dimension. This method has an intersection with the Diță construction and it generalizes the Szöllősi's method. We reproduce well-known results, extend some families and present new families of complex Hadamard matrices in even dimensions. In particular, found more than 13 million inequivalent affine families of complex Hadamard matrices in dimension 32. We also find analytical restrictions for any set of four mutually unbiased bases existing in dimension six.

Keywords: Complex Hadamard matrices, Affine families, Mutually unbiased bases.

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the knowledge about complex Hadamard matrices has exponentially increased and several applications to quantum information theory have appeared. They are useful to construct bases of unitary operators, bases of maximally entangled states and unitary depolarisers [1]. Complex Hadamard matrices allows to solve the Mean King Problem [2–4], to construct error correcting codes [5], to find quantum designs [6] and also to study spectral sets and Fuglede's conjecture [7–10]. Furthermore, they are also useful in mathematics for constructing some $*$ -subalgebras in finite von Neumann algebras [11–14], analyzing bi-unimodular sequences, finding cyclic n -roots [15, 16] and equiangular lines [17].

The existence of complex Hadamard matrices in every dimension is assured by the Fourier matrices. However, a complete characterization of inequivalent complex

*Electronic address: dgoyeneche@cefop.udec.cl

Hadamard matrices is known up to dimension five [14] and the complexity of the problem suddenly increases in dimension six. This complexity is not only due to the fact that six is not a prime power number, like what happened in the mutually unbiased bases problem [18]. The problem of determining the complete set of complex Hadamard matrices is still open even in lower prime dimensions: it is open in dimension seven, where a one-parametric family and a few number of single complex Hadamard matrices are known. Also, we do not know if a continuous family of complex Hadamard matrices exists in dimension eleven. A complete understanding of complex Hadamard matrices could help us solve the Hadamard conjecture and the mutually unbiased bases problem in non-prime power dimensions.

In this work, we present a new method to construct affine families of complex Hadamard matrices. This method allows us to find families stemming from a particular subset of complex Hadamard matrices existing in even dimensions. This subset includes the Fourier and real Hadamard matrices. This work is organized as follows: In Section II, we briefly introduce complex Hadamard matrices. In Section III, we present our method to generate affine families in even dimensions. We prove that inequivalent families of complex Hadamard matrices stem from inequivalent real Hadamard matrices when our method is used. We also find a restriction for any set of four MU bases existing in dimension six. In Section IV, we compare our main results with existing constructions: we prove our method generalizes the Szöllősi method and intersect Diţă construction. In Section V, we exemplify our method by constructing families stemming from the Fourier matrices in lower even dimension. In Section VI, we construct families of complex Hadamard matrices stemming from real Hadamard matrices. We also extend a known family in dimension eight and two families in dimension twelve. Finally, in Section VII we summarize and conclude.

II. COMPLEX HADAMARD MATRICES

In this section, we briefly resume basic properties of complex Hadamard matrices. More detailed explanations can be found in the book of K. Horadam [19] or in the self contained paper of W. Tadej and K. Życzkowski [20]. A square matrix H of size d is

called a *complex Hadamard matrix* if its entries are unimodular complex numbers and it has orthogonal columns. The Fourier matrix defined by its entries

$$(F_d)_{j,k} = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d}jk}, \quad (1)$$

where $i = \sqrt{-1}$ and $j, k = 0, \dots, d-1$, is a privileged example because it represents the *only* construction existing in every dimension d [14]. On the other hand, real Hadamard matrices, that is, complex Hadamard matrices having real entries, can only exist in dimension of the form $d = 4k$, where $k = 1/2$ or k is an integer positive number. The *Hadamard conjecture* states that real Hadamard matrices exist in all such dimensions and it represents one of the most important open problems in Combinatorics. Currently, the smaller order where a real Hadamard matrix is still unknown is $d = 4 \times 167 = 668$.

We say that two complex Hadamard matrices are *equivalent* ($H_1 \sim H_2$) if two diagonal matrices D_1, D_2 and two permutation matrices P_1, P_2 exists, such that

$$H_2 = D_1 P_1 H_1 P_2 D_2. \quad (2)$$

In dimensions two, three and five every complex Hadamard matrix is equivalent to the Fourier matrix and in dimension four a uniparametric family stems from the Fourier matrix. This is the complete characterization of complex Hadamard matrices in $d \leq 5$ [14]. In dimensions higher than five a complete classification is still an open problem. In the case of dimension six, there are several families of inequivalent complex Hadamard matrices, a three dimensional family being the biggest explicitly known [21].

A complex Hadamard matrix is *dephased* if every entry of the first row and every entry of the first column are equal to the unity. Given a complex Hadamard matrix H_1 it is possible to obtain a matrix $H_2 \sim H_1$ such that H_2 is written in dephased form and, conversely, if H_1 and H_2 have the same dephased form then $H_2 \sim H_1$. However, dephased form is not unique and we cannot use it to characterize inequivalent complex Hadamard matrices.

In the cases of $d = 4$ and $d > 5$ there exist arbitrarily close inequivalent complex Hadamard matrices; In such cases, we can define a continuous set of them. A construction of this kind of complex Hadamard matrices is called a *family*. A family is *affine* [20] if there exists a set $H(\mathcal{R})$ stemming from a dephased complex Hadamard matrix H , associated

with a subspace $\mathcal{R}$ of the real space of $d \times d$ matrices with zeros in the first row and column such that

$$H(\mathcal{R}) = \{H \circ \exp(iR) : R \in \mathcal{R}\}. \quad (3)$$

Here, R determines an m -dimensional space with basis $R_1 \dots, R_m$. We characterize the family with the notation $H(\vec{\xi})$, where $\vec{\xi}$ is an m -dimensional real vector. That is

$$H(\vec{\xi}) = H(R(\vec{\xi})) = H \circ \exp(iR(\vec{\xi})), \quad (4)$$

where $R(\vec{\xi}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i R_i$. The symbol $\circ$ denotes the Hadamard product

$$(H_1 \circ H_2)_{ij} = (H_1)_{ij}(H_2)_{ij}, \quad (5)$$

while Exp denotes the entrywise exponential function

$$(\text{Exp}(H))_{ij} = \exp(H_{ij}). \quad (6)$$

We say an affine family is *maximal* if it is not contained in any larger affine family $H(R')$ stemming from H , where $R \subset R'$. If a family is not affine we say it is *non-affine*. Sometimes, a complex Hadamard matrix does not belong to a family. In this case we say it is *isolated*. For example, the spectral matrix S_6 and every Fourier matrix defined in prime dimensions are isolated [22]. The transpose of a family is still a family. We say that two families $H_1(\vec{\xi})$ and $H_2(\vec{\nu})$ are *cognate* if the families $H_2(\vec{\nu})$ and $H_1^t(\vec{\xi})$, where t denotes matrix transposition, are equivalent. If a family and its transpose determine the same family we say it is *self-cognate*. For example, the four dimensional Fourier family $F_4^{(1)}$ is self-cognate.

The problem of determining the maximal family stemming from a complex Hadamard matrix is open in dimension higher than five. Even the problem to find the dimension of the maximal family is still open. The best approach in order to find this number is the *defect* of a complex Hadamard matrix [22], that is, the dimension of the solution space of the linear system

$$\begin{cases} R_{0,j} = 0, & j \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}, \\ R_{i,0} = 0, & i \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}, \\ \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} H_{i,k} H_{j,k}^* (R_{i,k} - R_{j,k}) = 0, & 0 \leq i < j \leq d-1, \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where $R \in \mathbb{R}^{d^2}$ is a variable matrix. The defect $\mathbf{d}(H)$ is an upper bound of the dimension of the maximal family stemming from H . For example, $\mathbf{d}(H) = 0$ implies that H is an isolated matrix but the reciprocal implication is not valid. The defect has been analytically obtained for the Fourier matrices in every dimension [22] and it has been proven that $\mathbf{d}(F_d) = 0$ when d is prime. Therefore, the Fourier matrices in prime dimensions are isolated.

III. CONSTRUCTION OF AFFINE FAMILIES

A family of $d \times d$ complex Hadamard matrices define a continuous set of orthogonal bases in $\mathbb{C}^d$ when the parameters of the family are smoothly changed. These bases are given by the columns of such matrices and they rotate in a very special way, because orthogonality is preserved and also every entry of every column is restricted to be a unimodular complex number. In this section, we deal with a very particular way of rotating columns. The main idea of this work comes from the following question:

Can a continuous pair of columns of a family of complex Hadamard matrices belongs to a two dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{C}^d$?

As we will show, this question has only positive answer in even dimensions. Let $\{\phi_k\}$ be an orthogonal base such that every vector ϕ_k defines a column of a $d \times d$ complex Hadamard matrix H . Our goal consists in finding two continuous vectors $\phi_a(\xi)$ and $\phi_b(\xi)$ such that:

C.1 *They are a linear combination of ϕ_0 and ϕ_1 .*

C.2 *They have unimodular complex entries.*

C.3 *They are orthogonal.*

C.4 *The initial conditions $\phi_a(0) = \phi_0$ and $\phi_b(0) = \phi_1$ hold.*

If these conditions are satisfied for any ξ then the vectors $\{\phi_a(\xi), \phi_b(\xi), \phi_2, \dots, \phi_{d-1}\}$ define the columns of a family of inequivalent Hadamard matrices $H(\xi)$, for any value of

$\xi \in [0, 2\pi)$. Let $\{\varphi_k\}$ be the standard (canonical) base and let ϕ_0 and ϕ_1 be the first two columns of H . Without loosing of generality we can assume that

$$\phi_0 = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \varphi_k, \quad (8)$$

and

$$\phi_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} e^{i\alpha_k} \varphi_k, \quad (9)$$

for a given set of unimodular complex numbers $\{e^{i\alpha_k}\}$ such that $\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} e^{i\alpha_k} = 0$. Therefore, purposing a linear combination of these vectors (C.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_a(\xi) &= x(\xi)\phi_0 + y(\xi)\phi_1, \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} (x(\xi) + y(\xi)e^{i\alpha_k})\varphi_k, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

and imposing unimodular entries in the last equation (C.2) we obtain

$$|x(\xi) + y(\xi)e^{i\alpha_k}| = 1, \quad (11)$$

for every $k = 0, \dots, d-1$. These equations are restricted to the condition

$$\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} e^{i\alpha_k} = 0. \quad (12)$$

The coupled system of equations given by Eqs.(11) and (12) have a solution if and only if d is an even number and ϕ_1 is a real vector. In fact, expanding Eq.(11) we obtain

$$|x(\xi)|^2 + |y(\xi)|^2 + 2\text{Re}(x^*(\xi)y(\xi)e^{i\alpha_k}) = 1, \quad (13)$$

for every $k = 0, \dots, d-1$. Summing Eqs.(13) and taking into account Eq.(12) we obtain

$$|x(\xi)|^2 + |y(\xi)|^2 = 1, \quad (14)$$

for every $\xi \in [0, 2\pi)$ and

$$\text{Re}(x^*(\xi)y(\xi)e^{i\alpha_k}) = 0, \quad (15)$$

for every $k = 0, \dots, d-1$ and $\xi \in [0, 2\pi)$. A solution of Eq.(14) is

$$x(\xi) = \cos(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad y(\xi) = \sin(\xi)e^{i\beta(\xi)}, \quad (16)$$

and imposing Eq.(15) we obtain that

$$e^{i\beta(\xi)} e^{i\alpha_k} = (-1)^k i, \quad (17)$$

for every $\xi \in [0, 2\pi)$. Therefore, the only interesting solution found is

$$\phi_a(\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} e^{i(-1)^k \xi} \varphi_k, \quad (18)$$

and an orthogonal vector to $\phi_a(\xi)$ (C.3) is given by

$$\phi_b(\xi) = y(\xi)\phi_0 - x(\xi)\phi_1. \quad (19)$$

The entries of $\phi_b(\xi)$ must be unimodular complex numbers (C.2), then

$$e^{i\beta(\xi)} e^{-i\alpha_k} = (-1)^k i, \forall k = 0, \dots, d-1. \quad (20)$$

Multiplying Eq.(17) times Eq.(20) we find that

$$e^{i\beta(\xi)} = i \quad \text{and} \quad e^{i\alpha_k} = (-1)^k, \quad (21)$$

and consequently

$$\phi_b(\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} (-1)^k e^{i(-1)^k \xi} \varphi_k. \quad (22)$$

Here, we can see the condition (C.4) holds. Note that the only difference between the pairs $\{\phi_0, \phi_1\}$ and $\{\phi_a(\xi), \phi_b(\xi)\}$ is the exponential term $\{e^{i(-1)^k \xi}\}$ appearing in Eqs.(18) and (22). Before formalizing this result in a proposition let us define a useful concept.

DEFINITION III.1 *Let C_A and C_B be two columns of a complex Hadamard matrix. We say they are an equivalent to real (ER) pair if*

$$(C_A^*)_j (C_B)_j = \pm 1, \quad (23)$$

for every $j = 0, \dots, d-1$. Here, $(C_A)_j$ and $(C_B)_j$ are the j th entries of C_A and C_B , respectively, and the asterisk denotes complex conjugation.

For example, the Fourier matrices defined in even dimensions d have $d/2$ ER pairs of columns. Indeed, the k th column is given by

$$(F_d)_k = \sum_{l=0}^{d-1} \omega^{lk} \varphi_l, \quad (24)$$

and the $d/2$ ER pairs are

$$\left\{ (F_d)_k, (F_d)_{k+\frac{d}{2}} \right\}, \quad (25)$$

where $k = 0, \dots, \frac{d}{2} - 1$. Given that F_d is symmetric it also has $d/2$ ER pairs of rows. The maximal number of ER pairs of columns and rows of a complex Hadamard matrix H are denoted by η_c and η_r , respectively. These numbers coincide for the Fourier matrices but they are different in general. For example, the spectral matrix S_8 [23] has $\eta_c = 4$ and $\eta_r = 0$. We formalize the results found in Eqs.(18) and (22) in the following proposition, which represents the main result of this paper.

PROPOSITION III.1 *Let H be a complex Hadamard matrix defined in an even dimension $d > 2$. If H has $0 \leq m < d/2$ ER pairs then H belongs to a m -dimensional family of complex Hadamard matrices.*

If $m = d/2$ a parameter can be missed after dephasing and we do not have a $d/2$ dimensional family. The following corollary clearly emerges from this proposition

COROLLARY III.1 *A complex Hadamard matrix having an ER pair of columns or rows is not isolated.*

The proof of this corollary is trivial, but our intention here is to remark that, sometimes, two columns of H contain enough information to affirm that H is not isolated. Let us define a particularly interesting case of ER pairs.

DEFINITION III.2 *Let $\{C_1, C_2\}$ and $\{C_3, C_4\}$ be two ER pairs of columns. We say they are aligned if*

$$(C_1^*)_k (C_2)_k = (C_3^*)_k (C_4)_k, \quad (26)$$

for every $k = 0, \dots, d - 1$. The maximal number of aligned pairs of columns and rows is called $\eta_{\bar{c}}$ and $\eta_{\bar{r}}$, respectively.

Let us show that the existence of ER pairs is invariant under equivalence.

PROPOSITION III.2 *Let H and $\tilde{H}$ be two equivalent dephased complex Hadamard matrices and let $\{C_1, C_2\}$ be an ER pair of columns of H . Then, the corresponding pair $\{\tilde{C}_1, \tilde{C}_2\}$ is an ER pair of columns of $\tilde{H}$.*

Proof: Let $\{C_1, C_2\}$ an ER pair of columns of H . That is,

$$C_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} a_k |\varphi_k\rangle, \quad (27)$$

and

$$C_2 = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} (-1)^k a_k |\varphi_k\rangle. \quad (28)$$

Therefore, we have

$$(C_1)_k (C_2)_k = (-1)^k. \quad (29)$$

Let $\tilde{H}$ be a complex Hadamard matrix equivalent to H . Therefore, there exists unimodular complex numbers c and b_k , and an injective function $f: \mathbb{Z}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_d$ such that

$$\tilde{C}_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} c b_k a_{f(k)} |\varphi_k\rangle, \quad (30)$$

and

$$\tilde{C}_2 = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \text{Sign}[(-1)^{f(0)}] c b_k (-1)^{f(k)} a_{f(k)} |\varphi_k\rangle. \quad (31)$$

The function $f(k)$ and the numbers b_k are related to a permutation operator P and a diagonal unitary operator D applied to H , respectively. In order to dephase $\tilde{C}_1$ and $\tilde{C}_2$ we consider

$$c = (b_0 a_{f(0)})^*. \quad (32)$$

From Eqs.(30) and (31) we obtain

$$(\tilde{C}_1)_k^* (\tilde{C}_2)_k = \text{Sign}[(-1)^{f(0)}] (-1)^{f(k)}. \quad (33)$$

Therefore, $\tilde{C}_1$ and $\tilde{C}_2$ are an ER pair of columns. □

Let us present the main consequence of this proposition.

COROLLARY III.2 *Let H be a dephased complex Hadamard matrix. Then, the numbers $\eta_c, \eta_r, \eta_{\bar{c}}$ and $\eta_{\bar{r}}$ are invariant under equivalence.*

Proof: The numbers η_c and η_r are trivially invariant from the proposition, whereas $\eta_{\bar{c}}$ is invariant due to the function f appearing in Eq.(33) is the same for every ER pair of columns; analogously for $\eta_{\bar{r}}$. □

In the case of the Fourier matrices we have

$$\eta_c = \begin{cases} \frac{d}{2} & \text{if } d \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } d \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad (34)$$

and the same values for $\eta_r, \eta_{\bar{c}}$ and $\eta_{\bar{r}}$. However, for real Hadamard matrices we know that $\eta_c = \eta_r = d/2$, but it is not possible to guess the functions $\eta_{\bar{c}}$ and $\eta_{\bar{r}}$ *a priori*. Let us present some properties of ER pairs in dimension six.

PROPOSITION III.3 *A 6×6 complex Hadamard matrix H belongs to the Fourier family if and only if $\eta_c \neq 0$ or $\eta_r \neq 0$.*

Proof: Suppose that H is written in dephased form and $\eta_c \neq 0$. Therefore, there exists $\tilde{H} \sim H$ such that one of its columns is $\tilde{C} = (1, -1, 1, -1, 1, -1)$. Taking into account that every entry of $\tilde{H}$ is unimodular and every pair of columns is orthogonal we only obtain two free parameters, which generate the Fourier family $F_6^{(2)}$. Analogously for $\eta_r \neq 0$. $\square$

Another way to interpret this proposition is by saying that in dimension six we have

$$\eta_c \neq 0 \Rightarrow \eta_{\bar{c}} = \eta_{\bar{r}} = 3, \quad (35)$$

and

$$\eta_r \neq 0 \Rightarrow \eta_{\bar{c}} = \eta_{\bar{r}} = 3. \quad (36)$$

It has been proven that from pairs of the form $\{\mathbb{I}, F_6^{(2)}(a, b)\}$ it is not possible to find more than triplets of MU bases for any parameters (a, b) [24]. Therefore, an interesting consequence emerges

COROLLARY III.3 *Suppose that four MU bases can be constructed in dimension six, namely $\{\mathbb{I}, H_1, H_2, H_3\}$. Then, $\eta_c = \eta_r = 0$ for H_1, H_2 and H_3 .*

This corollary means that if such matrices H_1, H_2, H_3 exist, each of them is inequivalent to a complex Hadamard matrix having two real columns.

Let us consider ER pairs in dimension four, where the most general ER pair of columns can be written in the form

$$C_1 = (1, e^{ia}, e^{ib}, e^{ic}), \quad (37)$$

$$C_2 = (1, -e^{ia}, e^{ib}, -e^{ic}), \quad (38)$$

for any numbers $a, b, c \in [0, 2\pi)$. The -1 's can appear in other entries but the above case is general up to equivalence. The inner product between these columns is given by

$$\langle C_1, C_2 \rangle = 1 - 1 + 1 - 1 = 0. \quad (39)$$

As we can see, the contribution of every pair of entries to the inner product is ± 1 ; this is an exclusive property of ER pairs. From Eq.(39), we can show that our construction only works in even dimensions; Otherwise, we do not have orthogonal columns. Using Eqs.(18) and (22) we construct the continuous pair of ER vectors

$$C_1(\xi) = (e^{i\xi}, e^{i(a-\xi)}, e^{i(b+\xi)}, e^{i(c-\xi)}), \quad (40)$$

$$C_2(\xi) = (e^{i\xi}, -e^{i(a-\xi)}, e^{i(b+\xi)}, -e^{i(c+\xi)}). \quad (41)$$

Dephasing and considering $\xi \rightarrow -\xi/2$ we find that

$$C_1(\xi) = (1, e^{i(a+\xi)}, e^{ib}, e^{i(c+\xi)}), \quad (42)$$

$$C_2(\xi) = (1, -e^{i(a+\xi)}, e^{ib}, -e^{i(c+\xi)}). \quad (43)$$

Note that ξ only appears in entries such that $(C_1)_j^*(C_2)_j = -1$. This is general easy rule to construct families of complex Hadamard matrices from our method. Let us present a proposition regarding families stemming from real Hadamard matrices.

PROPOSITION III.4 *Let H_1 and H_2 be two inequivalent real Hadamard matrices. Then, the families stemming from them using our method are inequivalent.*

Proof: It is enough to show that H_2 does not belong to the family stemming from H_1 . Given the family $H_1(\vec{\xi})$ every entry of $\vec{\xi}$ should be 0 or π in order to obtain a real Hadamard matrix. In this case, it is easy to show that every ER pair of vectors does not essentially change. That is, at most we obtain a permutation of rows or columns. Therefore, H_2 does not belong to $H_1(\vec{\xi})$. $\square$

Despite of Proposition III.4, $H_1 \sim H_2$ does not imply that the families stemming from these matrices are equivalent, as we can see in the Diță family $D_{12\Sigma}^{(7)}$ [25].

COROLLARY III.4 *In dimension 32 we can generate 13,710,027 inequivalent affine families of complex Hadamard matrices.*

This corollary is consequence of the recent classification of real Hadamard matrices in $d = 32$, where there exists exactly 13,710,027 inequivalent real Hadamard matrices [26]. In the same way, in dimensions 16, 20, 24 and 28 we can construct 5, 3, 60 and 487 inequivalent affine families, respectively. Let us present some general cases where our construction cannot be applied.

PROPOSITION III.5 *Let H be a dephased $d \times d$ complex Hadamard matrix such that one of the following conditions hold*

1. *The entries of H are real in the main diagonal and non-real in other cases.*
2. *The entries of H are roots of the unity ω^k with $k = 0, \dots, d/2 - 1$, where $\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d}}$.*
3. *The entries of H are powers of roots of the unity $\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}}$, where N is an odd number.*

Then, our method defined in Proposition III.1 cannot be applied.

The proof is trivial because in these cases we do not have ER pairs. $\square$

For example, we cannot construct a family stemming from the matrices C_6, D_6, D_{10} and D_{14} using our method (see the BTZ catalog [27] for explicit expressions of these matrices). Also, this proposition tell us that we cannot construct a family stemming from the isolated matrix S_6 and from every isolated matrix recently found by McNulty and Weigert [28].

IV. SZÖLLŐSI AND DIȚĂ METHODS

In dimension four or higher than five several families of complex Hadamard matrices have been found. Some of them are specific constructions and they cannot be extended to other dimensions. A method found by Szöllősi allows us to find a family of complex Hadamard matrices stemming from real Hadamard matrices. This method is stated in Lemma 3.4 [29]:

Lemma 3.4 (Szöllősi) *Let H be an arbitrary dephased complex Hadamard matrix of order $d \geq 4$. Suppose that H has a pair of columns, say u and v , with the following*

property: $u_i = v_i$ or $u_i + v_i = 0$ holds for every $i = 0, \dots, d-1$. Then, H admits an affine orbit.

This lemma is complemented by Theorem 3.5, which represents the main result of the Szöllősi's paper:

Theorem 3.5 (Szöllősi) *Let H be a real Hadamard matrix of order $N \geq 12$. Then, H admits an $(\frac{N}{2} + 1)$ -parameter affine orbit.*

We have noted that Lemma 3.4 coincides with our Corollary III.1. Note that the main result found by Szöllősi is Theorem 3.5, which consider real Hadamard matrices. This means that Lemma 3.4 has been defined for complex Hadamard matrices but thinking about real Hadamard matrices. Coincidentally, our method is a natural generalization of the Szöllősi's idea to complex Hadamard matrices. The advantage of our method can be appreciated even for real Hadamard matrices, because we can consider ER pairs of rows and columns simultaneously. Consequently, we can construct families having more than $d/2 + 1$ independent parameters. In the cases of $d = 4$ and $d = 8$ our constructions stemming from real Hadamard matrices agree with Szöllősi's results, but in dimension twelve our method extends the family $H_{12}^{(7)}$ found using Szöllősi's method, as we will show in Section VI.

In order to construct a family of complex Hadamard matrices we can consider the Diță's construction [30]. This method can be applied to *Diță type* complex Hadamard matrices:

Diță type *A complex Hadamard matrix H of order $d = d_1 d_2$ is called Diță type if there exists complex Hadamard matrices M of order d_1 and $N_1, \dots, N_{d_1}$ of order d_2 such that H can be cast in the form*

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11}N_1 & \dots & m_{1d_1}N_{d_1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ m_{d_11}N_1 & \dots & m_{d_1d_1}N_{d_1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (44)$$

The matrices $N_1, \dots, N_{d_1}$ are not necessarily different.

Diță construction *Let H be a Diță type complex Hadamard matrix. Then, the following affine family stems from H*

$$H(\vec{\xi}) = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11}N_1 & m_{12}D_2N_2 & \dots & m_{1d_1}D_{d_1}N_{d_1} \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ m_{d_11}N_1 & m_{d_12}D_2N_2 & \dots & m_{d_1d_1}D_{d_1}N_{d_1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (45)$$

where $D_2, \dots, D_{d_1}$ are diagonal unitary matrices, each of them containing $d_2 - 1$ free parameters. The total numbers of free parameters is $(d_1 - 1)(d_2 - 1) + m + n_1 + \dots + n_{d_1}$, where m and $n_1, \dots, n_{d_1}$ denote the number of free parameters of M and $N_1, \dots, N_{d_1}$, respectively. In the case of $N_1 = N_2 = \dots = N_{d_1} = N$ we have $H = M \otimes N$ and Diță type coincides with Sylvester type matrices [31]. Let us present a proposition that shows the intersection of our method with the Diță's method.

PROPOSITION IV.1 *Let H be a dephased complex Hadamard matrix such that $\eta_{\bar{c}} = \eta_{\bar{r}} = d/2$. Then, H is Sylvester type.*

Proof: Suppose that there exists a complex Hadamard matrix such that $\eta_{\bar{c}} = \eta_{\bar{r}} = d/2$. Therefore, permuting rows and columns of H we obtain the following equivalent matrix

$$\tilde{H} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ A & -B \end{pmatrix}, \quad (46)$$

where A and B are $d/2 \times d/2$ complex Hadamard matrices. In this case, our method is reduced to a particular case of the Diță construction

$$H(\vec{\xi}) = \begin{pmatrix} A & D_1 B \\ A & -D_1 B \end{pmatrix} \quad (47)$$

□

In the case of $\eta_c \neq d/2$ or $\eta_r \neq d/2$ our method *is not* a particular case of the Diță construction. This is due to every Diță-type matrix is embedded within a family depending on at least $(d_1 - 1)(d_2 - 1)$ free parameters, where $d = d_1 d_2$. On the other hand, our method do not have this restriction but we only require the existence of an ER pair in any even dimension. We remark it is not easy to identify Diță type matrices, but it is straightforward to identify ER pairs even in higher dimensions.

V. FOURIER FAMILIES IN EVEN DIMENSIONS

In this section, we construct families of complex Hadamard matrices stemming from the Fourier matrices using our method. We analyze even and doubly even dimensions separately because different properties appear. We do not present new results in this section because the Fourier matrices have $\eta_{\bar{c}} = \eta_{\bar{r}} = d/2$ and then our method coincides with the Diță construction, as we have noted in proposition IV.1. The first case we analyze corresponds to even dimensions.

A. Fourier families in even dimensions

In dimension four, the Fourier matrix is given by

$$F_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & i & -1 & -i \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -i & -1 & i \end{pmatrix}. \quad (48)$$

Here we have $\eta_c = \eta_r = 2$ but only a one parameter family can be found after dephasing. Let $(C_2)_j$ and $(C_4)_j$ be the j th entries of the second and the fourth column of F_4 . According to the practical rule defined after Eq.(42), we should add a phase $e^{i\xi}$ in every entry of C_1 and C_2 if $(C_2)_j^*(C_4)_j = -1$. Therefore, we obtain the following family

$$F_4^{(1)}(\xi) = F_4 \circ \exp(iR_{F_4^{(1)}}(\xi)), \quad (49)$$

where,

$$R_{F_4^{(1)}}(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \xi & \bullet & \xi \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \xi & \bullet & \xi \end{pmatrix}, \quad (50)$$

and the symbol $\bullet$ means zero and $\xi \in [0, 2\pi)$. This family is self-cognate and it agrees with the only one parametric family existing in dimension four [27]. In the same way, we construct a 2-parametric family stemming from the Fourier matrix in dimension six by considering the ER pairs of columns $\{C_2, C_5\}$ and $\{C_3, C_6\}$. That is,

$$F_6^{(2)}(a, b) = F_6 \circ \exp(iR_{F_6^{(2)}}(a, b)), \quad (51)$$

and

$$\left(F_6^{(2)}(a, b)\right)^t = F_6 \circ \exp\left(i\left(R_{F_6}^{(2)}(a, b)\right)^t\right), \quad (52)$$

where

$$R_{F_6}^{(2)}(a, b) = \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & a & b & \bullet & a & b \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & a & b & \bullet & a & b \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & a & b & \bullet & a & b \end{pmatrix}. \quad (53)$$

This is the only maximal affine family stemming from the Fourier matrix F_6 . It is easy to show that the family $F_6^{(2)}(a, b)$ cannot be extended by considering ER pairs of rows. This is a general property valid for $d = 4$ and even dimension of the form $d \neq 4k + 2$.

As we have shown, the Fourier matrices contain $d/2$ ER pairs of columns in every even dimension. Therefore, we can construct the following affine families

$$F_d^{(d/2-1)}(\vec{\xi}) = F_d \circ \exp(iR^{(d/2-1)}(\vec{\xi})), \quad (54)$$

and

$$\left(F_d^{(d/2-1)}(\vec{\xi})\right)^t = F_d \circ \exp\left(i\left(R^{(d/2-1)}(\vec{\xi})\right)^t\right), \quad (55)$$

where

$$\left(R^{(d/2-1)}(\vec{\xi})\right)_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \bullet & \text{when } i = 0 \text{ or even} \\ \bullet & \text{when } i \text{ is odd and } j = 0 \bmod (d/2) \\ \xi_{[j-1]} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (56)$$

The above families are not self-cognate. We have noted from the BTZ catalog [27] that in the case of $d = 2, 4, 6, 10, 14$ our construction agrees with the maximal affine Hadamard family stemming from F_d . This motivated us to establish the following conjecture

CONJECTURE V.1 *The maximal affine family of complex Hadamard matrices stemming from the Fourier matrix F_d in dimensions $d = 2p$ (p prime) is given by Eq.(54) to Eq.(56).*

B. Fourier families in double even dimensions

In the case of dimensions of the form $d = 4k$, $k > 1$ we can simultaneously apply Proposition III.1 to rows and columns of the Fourier matrices, increasing the number of parameters of the family beyond $d/2 - 1$. For example, we have obtained the following 5-parametric self-cognate family stemming from F_8

$$F_8^{(5)}(a, b, c, d, e) = F_8 \circ \exp(iR_{F_8}(a, b, c, d, e)), \quad (57)$$

where

$$R_{F_8}(a, b, c, d, e) = \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & a+d & e & a & \bullet & a+d & e & a \\ \bullet & b & \bullet & b & \bullet & b & \bullet & b \\ \bullet & c+d & e & c & \bullet & c+d & e & c \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & a+d & e & a & \bullet & a+d & e & a \\ \bullet & b & \bullet & b & \bullet & b & \bullet & b \\ \bullet & c+d & e & c & \bullet & c+d & e & c \end{pmatrix}. \quad (58)$$

The ER pairs here considered are $\{C_2, C_6\}, \{C_3, C_7\}, \{C_4, C_8\}$ and $\{R_2, R_6\}, \{R_3, R_7\}, \{R_4, R_8\}$ or $C = R = \{2, 6; 3, 7; 4, 8\}$ for short. The ER pair $\{C_4, C_8\}$ produces a linearly dependent parameter and it has not been considered in Eq.(58). The 5-parametric family given in Eq.(57) coincides with the maximal affine family stemming from F_8 [20]. In the case of $d = 12$, the following 9-parametric family can be found

$$F_{12}^{(9)}(a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i) = F_{12} \circ \exp(iR_{F_{12}}(a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i)), \quad (59)$$

where

$$R_{F_{12}}(a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i) = \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & a+f & g & a+h & i & a & \bullet & a+f & g & a+h & i & a \\ \bullet & b & \bullet & b & \bullet & b & \bullet & b & \bullet & b & \bullet & b \\ \bullet & c+f & g & c+h & i & c & \bullet & c+f & g & c+h & i & c \\ \bullet & d & \bullet & d & \bullet & d & \bullet & d & \bullet & d & \bullet & d \\ \bullet & e+f & g & e+h & i & e & \bullet & e+f & g & e+h & i & e \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & a+f & g & a+h & i & a & \bullet & a+f & g & a+h & i & a \\ \bullet & b & \bullet & b & \bullet & b & \bullet & b & \bullet & b & \bullet & b \\ \bullet & c+f & g & c+h & i & c & \bullet & c+f & g & c+h & i & c \\ \bullet & d & \bullet & d & \bullet & d & \bullet & d & \bullet & d & \bullet & d \\ \bullet & e+f & g & e+h & i & e & \bullet & e+f & g & e+h & i & e \end{pmatrix}. \quad (60)$$

Here, we considered the ER pairs $C = R = \{2, 8; 3, 9; 4, 10; 5, 11; 6, 12\}$, where $\{C_6, C_{12}\}$ produces a linearly dependent parameter. This result coincides with the affine family $F_{12A}^{(9)}$ [20] which is self-cognate. A 13-parametric (non-affine) family stemming from F_{12} has been recently found by Barros and Bengtsson [32]. This family contains the set of affine families found by Tadej and Życzkowski [20] and, consequently, also contain our family $F_{12}^{(9)}$. Finally, we obtained a 13-parametric family stemming from F_{16} . That is,

$$F_{16}^{(13)}(a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m) = F_{16} \circ \exp(iR_{F_{16}}(a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m)), \quad (61)$$

where $R_{F_{16}}(a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m)$ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & a+h & i & a+j & k & a+l & m & a & \bullet & a+h & i & a+j & k & a+l & m & a \\ \bullet & b & \bullet & b & \bullet & b & \bullet & b & \bullet & b & \bullet & b & \bullet & b & \bullet & b \\ \bullet & c+h & i & c+j & k & c+l & m & c & \bullet & c+h & i & c+j & k & c+l & m & c \\ \bullet & d & \bullet & d & \bullet & d & \bullet & d & \bullet & d & \bullet & d & \bullet & d & \bullet & d \\ \bullet & e+h & i & e+j & k & e+l & m & e & \bullet & e+h & i & e+j & k & e+l & m & e \\ \bullet & f & \bullet & f & \bullet & f & \bullet & f & \bullet & f & \bullet & f & \bullet & f & \bullet & f \\ \bullet & g+h & i & g+j & k & g+l & m & g & \bullet & g+h & i & g+j & k & g+l & m & g \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & a+h & i & a+j & k & a+l & m & a & \bullet & a+h & i & a+j & k & a+l & m & a \\ \bullet & b & \bullet & b & \bullet & b & \bullet & b & \bullet & b & \bullet & b & \bullet & b & \bullet & b \\ \bullet & c+h & i & c+j & k & c+l & m & c & \bullet & c+h & i & c+j & k & c+l & m & c \\ \bullet & d & \bullet & d & \bullet & d & \bullet & d & \bullet & d & \bullet & d & \bullet & d & \bullet & d \\ \bullet & e+h & i & e+j & k & e+l & m & e & \bullet & e+h & i & e+j & k & e+l & m & e \\ \bullet & f & \bullet & f & \bullet & f & \bullet & f & \bullet & f & \bullet & f & \bullet & f & \bullet & f \\ \bullet & g+h & i & g+j & k & g+l & m & g & \bullet & g+h & i & g+j & k & g+l & m & g \end{pmatrix}. \quad (62)$$

This family is self-cognate. The ER pairs considered here are $C = R = \{2, 10; 3, 11; 4, 12; 5, 13; 6, 14; 7, 15\}$ and the ER pair $\{C_8, C_{16}\}$ produces a linearly dependent parameter. We remark that the maximal affine family stemming from the Fourier matrix in dimension 16 has 17 parameters [20, 30]. In general, for $d = 4k$, $k > 1$ we can construct the following families of complex Hadamard matrices stemming from the Fourier matrices

$$F_d(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}) = F_d \circ \exp(i(R_1(\vec{\alpha}) + R_2(\vec{\beta}))), \quad (63)$$

and

$$\left(F_d(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta})\right)^T = F_d \circ \exp\left(i\left(R_1(\vec{\alpha}) + R_2(\vec{\beta})\right)^T\right), \quad (64)$$

where

$$(R_1(\vec{\alpha}))_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \bullet & \text{when } i = 0 \text{ or even} \\ \bullet & \text{when } i \text{ is odd and } j = 0 \pmod{d/2} \\ \alpha_{[j-1]} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (65)$$

and

$$R_2(\vec{\beta}) = R_1^T(\vec{\beta}). \quad (66)$$

Note that the parameters $\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}$ can be dependent and $d - 2$ is an upper bound for the dimension of the family that we can obtain from F_d . Curiously, we found a $d - 3$ dimensional family in the cases of $d = 4, 8, 12, 16$ and, maybe, this is also be valid for every double even dimension.

Using our construction, we can define a lower bound for the maximal affine family stemming from the complex Hadamard matrices in even dimensions.

PROPOSITION V.1 *Let H be a complex Hadamard matrix and $d_{\max}(H)$ the dimension of the maximal affine family stemming from H . Then, the following lower bound can be established*

$$\eta_{\max}(H) \leq d_{\max}(H). \quad (67)$$

where $\eta_{\max}$ is the maximal number of linearly independent parameters of a family constructed using our method. Let us analyze this bound in the case of the Fourier matrices in even dimensions.

d	$\eta_{\max}(F_d)$	$d_{\max}(F_d)$	d	$\eta_{\max}(F_d)$	$d_{\max}(F_d)$
2	0	0	10	4	4
4	1	1	12	9	9
6	2	2	14	6	6
8	5	5	16	13	17

As we can see, up to $d = 14$ every known affine family stemming from the Fourier matrices can be constructed using our method.

VI. REAL HADAMARD MATRICES

From real Hadamard matrices and the Fourier matrices we obtain $d/2$ ER pairs of columns and rows. However, the ER pairs are not necessarily aligned for real Hadamard matrices, and therefore we have many ways to choose them. This is a remarkable difference between real and Fourier Hadamard matrices. As we will show next, in the case of $d \geq 8$ the ER pairs should be intelligently chosen in order to maximize the dimension of the

families. In $d = 2$ we have an isolated real Hadamard matrix and for $d = 4$ every real Hadamard matrix belongs to the one parametric family $F_4^{(1)}(\xi)$ presented in the previous section. So, the first interesting case here corresponds to $d = 8$, where every real Hadamard matrix is equivalent to

$$H_8 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (68)$$

In order to maximize a family obtained from H_8 , we should choose the number of ER pairs of rows such that the ER pairs of columns is maximal. Therefore, we obtain a family having 8 free parameters but only 5 of them are linearly independent after dephasing. Applying our method to rows of H_8 and considering $\eta_{\bar{r}} = 4$ we obtain, as a first step, the 4-parametric family

$$H_8(a, b, c, d) = H_8 \circ \exp(iR_{H_8}(a, b, c, d)), \quad (69)$$

where

$$R_{H_8}(a, b, c, d) = \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & a & \bullet & a & \bullet & a & \bullet & a \\ \bullet & b & \bullet & b & \bullet & b & \bullet & b \\ \bullet & c & \bullet & c & \bullet & c & \bullet & c \\ \bullet & c & \bullet & c & \bullet & c & \bullet & c \\ \bullet & a & \bullet & a & \bullet & a & \bullet & a \\ \bullet & d & \bullet & d & \bullet & d & \bullet & d \\ \bullet & d & \bullet & d & \bullet & d & \bullet & d \\ \bullet & b & \bullet & b & \bullet & b & \bullet & b \end{pmatrix}. \quad (70)$$

Therefore, we have 9 inequivalent ways to choose the ER pairs of columns. That is,

$$C_A = \{1, 3; 5, 7; 2, 4; 6, 8\}, \quad (71)$$

$$C_B = \{1, 3; 5, 7; 2, 6; 4, 8\}, \quad (72)$$

$$C_C = \{1, 3; 5, 7; 2, 8; 4, 6\}, \quad (73)$$

$$C_D = \{1, 5; 3, 7; 2, 4; 6, 8\}, \quad (74)$$

$$C_E = \{1, 5; 3, 7; 2, 6; 4, 8\}, \quad (75)$$

$$C_F = \{1, 5; 3, 7; 2, 8; 4, 6\}, \quad (76)$$

$$C_G = \{1, 7; 3, 5; 2, 4; 6, 8\}, \quad (77)$$

$$C_H = \{1, 7; 3, 5; 2, 6; 4, 8\}, \quad (78)$$

$$C_I = \{1, 7; 3, 5; 2, 8; 4, 6\}. \quad (79)$$

Let us explicitly construct the case C_A . That is,

$$H_{8A}^{(8)}(a, b, c, d, e) = H_8 \circ \exp(iR_{H_{8A}}(a, b, c, d, e)), \quad (80)$$

where

$$R_{H_{8A}}(a, b, c, d, e) = \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & a+b+d & \bullet & a+b+d & d & a+b+d & d & a+b+d \\ \bullet & a+c+e & \bullet & a+c+e & \bullet & a+c & \bullet & a+c \\ \bullet & a+c+e & \bullet & a+c+e & \bullet & a+c & \bullet & a+c \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & a+d+e & \bullet & a+d+e & d & a+d & d & a+d \\ \bullet & a+d+e & \bullet & a+d+e & d & a+d & d & a+d \\ \bullet & a+b+d & \bullet & a+b+d & d & a+b+d & d & a+b+d \end{pmatrix}. \quad (81)$$

We can consider $7!! = 7 \times 5 \times 3 \times 1 = 105$ different choices for the ER pairs of rows and the above 9 cases represent only one of these choices. In principle, we do not know how many of these families are inequivalent. Using Diță construction, it was found 9 inequivalent 5-parametric families stemming from H_8 [33], which are a particular subset of our solutions. This is very easy to show from Proposition IV.1.

In Section IV, we mentioned our method generalizes the Szöllősi's method even for real Hadamard matrices. Let us present an example where this is clearly showed. From the

real Hadamard matrix

$$H_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (82)$$

we have found an 8-parametric family from considering the ER pairs given by $C = \{1, 6; 2, 3\}$ and $R = \{1, 5; 2, 11; 3, 7; 4, 8; 6, 12; 9, 10\}$. That is,

$$H_{12}^{(8)}(a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h) = H_{12} \circ \exp(iR_{H_{12}}(a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h)), \quad (83)$$

where $R_{H_{12}}(a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h)$ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & a+b & a+b & b & a & \bullet & a+b & \bullet & b & a+b & a & \bullet \\ \bullet & \frac{a+c}{+g+h} & \frac{a+c}{+g+h} & c+h & \frac{a+c}{+h} & \bullet & \frac{a+c}{+h} & h & h & a+h & a+h & c+h \\ \bullet & \frac{a+d}{+g+h} & \frac{a+d}{+g+h} & d+h & \frac{a+d}{+h} & \bullet & a+h & d+h & h & \frac{a+d}{+h} & a+h & h \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \frac{a+e}{+h} & \frac{a+e}{+h} & e+h & \frac{a+e}{+h} & \bullet & a+h & h & e+h & a+h & \frac{a+e}{+h} & h \\ \bullet & \frac{a+c}{+g+h} & \frac{a+c}{+g+h} & c+h & \frac{a+c}{+h} & \bullet & \frac{a+c}{+h} & h & h & a+h & a+h & c+h \\ \bullet & \frac{a+d}{+g+h} & \frac{a+d}{+g+h} & d+h & \frac{a+d}{+h} & \bullet & a+h & d+h & h & \frac{a+d}{+h} & a+h & h \\ \bullet & \frac{a+f}{+g} & \frac{a+f}{+g} & \bullet & a & \bullet & a+f & f & \bullet & a+f & a & f \\ \bullet & \frac{a+f}{+g} & \frac{a+f}{+g} & \bullet & a & \bullet & a+f & f & \bullet & a+f & a & f \\ \bullet & a+b & a+b & b & a & \bullet & a+b & \bullet & b & a+b & a & \bullet \\ \bullet & \frac{a+e}{+h} & \frac{a+e}{+h} & e+h & \frac{a+e}{+h} & \bullet & a+h & h & e+h & a+h & a+e+h & h \end{pmatrix}. \quad (84)$$

We have checked that all the parameters are linearly independent. Considering different combinations of ER pairs of rows and columns we can generate $12!! = 46080$ families, but we do not know how many of them are inequivalent. Our family $H_{12}^{(8)}$ is a new result that extends the family $H_{12}^{(7)}$ found using the Szöllősi's method [29].

We have noted that our method can be applied to other complex Hadamard matrices apart from the real and the Fourier matrices. For example, from $H_{10}^{(\omega)}$ [25] we found the following 5-parametric family

$$H_{10}^{(\omega)}(a, b, c, d, e) = H_{10}^{(\omega)} \circ \exp(iR_{H_{10}^{(\omega)}}(a, b, c, d, e)), \quad (85)$$

where

$$H_{10}^{(\omega)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \omega & \omega^2 & -1 & -1 & 1 & \omega^2 & \omega \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \omega^2 & \omega & -1 & 1 & -1 & \omega & \omega^2 \\ 1 & \omega & \omega^2 & 1 & 1 & -1 & \omega^2 & \omega & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega & 1 & 1 & -1 & \omega & \omega^2 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega & 1 & -1 & 1 & -\omega & -\omega^2 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & \omega & \omega^2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -\omega^2 & -\omega \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & \omega^2 & \omega & 1 & -1 & -1 & -\omega & -\omega^2 \\ 1 & \omega & \omega^2 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -\omega^2 & -\omega & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (86)$$

$\omega^2 + \omega + 1 = 0$ and

$$R_{H_{10}^{(\omega)}}(a, b, c, d, e) = \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & a+b & a & a & a & a+b & \bullet & b & b & b \\ \bullet & a & a+c & a & a & a+c & c & \bullet & c & c \\ \bullet & a & a & a+d & a & a+d & d & d & \bullet & d \\ \bullet & a & a & a & a+e & a+e & e & e & e & \bullet \\ \bullet & a & a & a & a+e & a+e & e & e & e & \bullet \\ \bullet & a+b & a & a & a & a+b & \bullet & b & b & b \\ \bullet & a & a+c & a & a & a+c & c & \bullet & c & c \\ \bullet & a & a & a+d & a & a+d & d & d & \bullet & d \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix}. \quad (87)$$

In this case, we have $\eta_c = 5$ and $\eta_r = 5$, but they cannot be simultaneously considered in order to obtain more free parameters. It was proven that a 7-parametric family stems

from $H_{10}^{(\omega)}$ [25], and Eq.(85) represents a subset of this family. We have also extended the 7 parametric family $D_{12\Sigma}^{(7)}$ [34] found by using the Diță construction. This extension is obtained by considering the ER pair given by the first and the last row in every subfamily of $D_{12\Sigma}^{(7)}$ (straightforwardly obtained from inspection of the family [34]). We have proven that the new parameter is linearly independent to the rest of the parameters after dephasing. We have omitted details here to abbreviate, but the twenty subfamilies $D_{12A}^{(7)}$ to $D_{12R}^{(7)}$ are generalized in the same straightforward way. As a last result, we showed that the single matrix D_{12} presented by Diță [25]

$$D_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & i & i & i & -i & -i & -i & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & i & i & -i & i & -i & -i & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & i & -i & i & -i & i & -i & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -i & i & -i & i & i & -i & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -i & -i & i & i & i & -i & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -i & -i & i & i & -i & i & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -i & i & i & -i & -i & i & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & i & -i & -i & i & -i & i & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & i & -i & -i & -i & i & i & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -i & i & -i & -i & i & i & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (88)$$

belongs to the intersection of our extension of $D_{12\Sigma}^{(7)}$, namely $D_{12\Sigma}^{(8)}$. That is,

$$D_{12} \in \bigcap_{\Gamma=A}^R D_{12\Gamma}^{(8)}. \quad (89)$$

Indeed, every subfamily of $D_{12\Sigma}^{(7)}$ stems from H_{12} (defined in Eq.(82)) or from a real Hadamard matrix equivalent to H_{12} , and all these equivalent matrices have the same first and last row as H_{12} . In order to obtain D_{12} we start multiplying from the second to the seventh column of H_{12} by i times and we introduce a parameter ξ by applying our method to the ER pair $\{R_1, R_{12}\}$. In the case of $\xi = -\pi/2$ we obtain D_{12} . Analogously for every subfamily $D_{12\Gamma}^{(8)}$, $\Gamma = A, \dots, R$.

VII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

We presented a new method to construct families of complex Hadamard matrices in every even dimension by introducing the concept of *ER pairs*. We have reproduced some previously known results:

- (i) *Maximal families stemming from the Fourier matrix in $d = 4, 6, 8, 10, 14$.*
- (ii) *Subfamilies stemming from the Fourier matrix in every even dimension.*

We have extended the families that can be constructed from every real Hadamard matrix

- (iii) *Our method generalizes the Szöllősi's method, in the sense that the number of free independent parameters obtained from the Szöllősi's method in $d \geq 12$ can be increased using our method.*

Our method has an intersection with the Diță's construction:

- (iv) *If a $d \times d$ complex Hadamard matrix has $d/2$ aligned ER pairs then it is Sylvester type and therefore Diță's construction coincides with our method.*

We have extended two families in dimension twelve:

- (v) *We found 46,080 different ways to generalize the family $H_{12}^{(7)}$ obtained from Szöllősi's method. However, we do not know how many of our extensions are inequivalent.*
- (vi) *The family $D_{12\Sigma}^{(7)}$ obtained from Diță's construction was extended to $D_{12\Sigma}^{(8)}$. Also, we have proven that the single matrix D_{12} presented by Diță belongs to every subfamily of $D_{12\Sigma}^{(8)}$.*

We have established a connection between the mutually unbiased (MU) bases problem in dimension six and the ER pairs:

- (vii) *Let $\{\mathbb{I}, H_1, H_2, H_3\}$ be a set of four MU bases existing in dimension six. Then, H_1, H_2 and H_3 do not have ER pairs.*

And finally, from our method we generated inequivalent affine families from inequivalent real Hadamard matrices. For example:

- (viii) *In dimensions 16, 20, 24, 28 and 32 we can construct 5, 3, 60, 487 and more than*

13 million inequivalent families, respectively.

We hope to generalize the concept of ER pairs in order to introduce parameters in three or more columns of a complex Hadamard matrix. This extension could allow us to construct affine families of complex Hadamard matrices in every dimension $d > 3$.

VIII. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I specially thank to W. Tadej, I. Bengtsson, K. Życzkowski, S. Weigert, P. Diță, A. Delgado, F. Szöllősi, B. Karlsson and M. Matolcsi for their invaluable comments. This work is supported by Grants FONDECYT N° 3120066 and MSI P010-30F.

-
- [1] R. Werner. All teleportation and dense coding schemes, J. Phys. A, 34, (2001), 7081-7094
 - [2] L. Vaidman, Y. Aharonov and D. Z. Albert, Phys. Rev. Lett 58 1385 (1987).
 - [3] B.-G. Englert and Y. Aharonov, The mean king's problem: Prime degrees of freedom Phys. Lett. A 284 1-5 (2001).
 - [4] A. Klappenecker and M. Rötteler, New Tales of the Mean King. preprint quant-ph/0502138.
 - [5] I. Heng and C. H. Cooke, Error correcting codes associated with complex Hadamard matrices, Appl. Math. Lett. 11, 77-80 (1998).
 - [6] G. Zauner. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Wien (1999)
 - [7] T. Tao, Fuglede's conjecture is false in 5 and higher dimensions Math. Res. Letters 11 (2004), 251-258
 - [8] M. Matolcsi, Fuglede's conjecture fails in dimension 4, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 133, 3021-3026 (2005).
 - [9] M. N. Kolountzakis and M. Matolcsi, Tiles with no spectra, preprint June 2004.
 - [10] M. N. Kolountzakis and M. Matolcsi, Complex Hadamard matrices and the spectral set conjecture, Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Harmonic Analysis and Partial Differential Equations (El Escorial, 2004).
 - [11] S. Popa, Orthogonal pairs of *-subalgebras in finite von Neumann algebras, J. Operator Theory 9, 253-268 (1983).

- [12] P. de la Harpe and V.R.F. Jones, Paires de sous-algebres semi-simples et graphes fortement reguliers, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 311, 147-150 (1990).
- [13] A. Munemasa and Y. Watatani, Orthogonal pairs of $*$ -subalgebras and association schemes, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 314, 329-331 (1992).
- [14] U. Haagerup, Ortogonal maximal Abelian $*$ -subalgebras of $n \times n$ matrices and cyclic n -roots, Operator Algebras and Quantum Field Theory (Rome), Cambridge, MA International Press, (1996), 296-322.
- [15] G. Björk and R. Fröberg, A faster way to count the solutions of inhomogeneous systems of algebraic equations, with applications to cyclic n -roots, J. Symbolic Comp. 12, 329-336 (1991).
- [16] G. Björck and B. Saffari, New classes of finite unimodular sequences with unimodular Fourier transform. Circulant Hadamard matrices with complex entries, C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris 320 319-24 (1995).
- [17] C. D. Godsil and A. Roy, Equiangular lines, mutually unbiased bases, and spin models preprint quant-ph/0511004 (2005).
- [18] W. Wootters and B. Fields. Optimal state-determination by mutually unbiased measurements. Annals of Physics, 191:363-381 (1989).
- [19] K. Horadam. Hadamard matrices and Their applications, Princeton University Press (2007)
- [20] W. Tadej, K. Życzkowski. A concise guide to complex Hadamard matrices Open Systems and Infor. Dyn. 13 133-177 (2006)
- [21] B. Karlsson. Three-parameter complex Hadamard matrices of order 6. Lin. Alg. Appl. 434, 247 (2011).
- [22] W. Tadej, K. Życzkowski. Defect of a unitary matrix. Linear Algebra and its Applications 429 (2008) 447-481
- [23] M. Matolcsi, J. Réffy and F. Szöllősi. Constructions of complex Hadamard matrices via tiling Abelian groups, Open Syst. Inf. Dyn. 14, 247 (2007).
- [24] Jaming et al 2009 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42 245305
- [25] P. Diță. Complex Hadamard matrices from Sylvester inverse orthogonal matrices, Open Sys. Inform. Dyn., 16 (2009), 387-405; see the errata at arXiv:0901.0982v2
- [26] H. Kharaghania, B. Tayfeh-Rezaie. Hadamard matrices of order 32, preprint, 2012.

- [27] http://chaos.if.uj.edu.pl/~karol/hadamard/chm_catalogue.php?C=0605
- [28] D. McNulty, S. Weigert. Isolated Hadamard Matrices from Mutually Unbiased Product Bases. arXiv:1208.1057v1 [math-ph]
- [29] F. Szöllősi. Parametrizing complex Hadamard matrices. European Journal of Combinatorics 29 (2008) 1219-1234.
- [30] P. Diță, Some results on the parametrization of complex Hadamard matrices, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 37, 5355 (2004).
- [31] J. Sylvester, Thoughts on inverse orthogonal matrices, simultaneous sign successions, and tessellated pavements in two or more colours, with applications to Newton's rule, ornamental tile-work, and the theory of numbers, London Edinburgh and Dublin Philos. Mag. and J. Sci. 34 (1867) 461-475.
- [32] N. Barros and I. Bengtsson. Families of complex Hadamard matrices arXiv:1202.1181v1 (math-ph)
- [33] P. Diță, Hadamard matrices from mutually unbiased bases. J. Math. Phys. 51, 072202 (2010)
- [34] P. Diță, Circulant conference matrices for new complex Hadamard matrices. arXiv:1107.1338v1 (2011) (math-ph).